## Crown Premises Fire Inspection Group

Date: 30th November 2016

<REDACTED>
Governor
HMP Lincoln
Greetwell Road
Lincoln
Lincolnshire
LN2 4BD



Crown Premises Fire Inspection Group Fire and Resilience Directorate Crime, Policing and Fire Group 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor NW Fry Building 2 Marsham Street London SW1P 4DF

Our Ref: 4007/801/01

Please reply to: <REDACTED> @homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk

Dear Sir,

The Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 (the Order)

Premises: HMP LINCOLN, GREETWELL ROAD, LINCOLN, LN2 4BD

Following the fire safety inspection of the above premises on the **22**<sup>nd</sup> **and 23**<sup>rd</sup> **November 2016**, I am writing to confirm my opinion that the identified individuals or groups of people would be at risk in case of fire. You will need to take action to ensure their safety.

In the event that a long-term solution cannot be implemented immediately, you will need to introduce interim measures to reduce the level of risk whilst longer-term measures are being prepared.

Fire safety measures are largely interactive, and fire risks can be controlled in many ways. Therefore, whilst the schedule refers to solutions you could adopt, I am not directing you to choose any one of them. It will be acceptable for you to implement any measures which achieve an appropriate standard of safety from fire.

Successful approaches to assessing and managing risk can be provided by accepted guidance, BS9999: 2008 or fire engineering calculations. Each of these requires the involvement of a person with comprehensive training or experience.

This letter should be read in conjunction with the attached RAG Risk Rating form, I have included additional information to assist you with planning what action to take. The schedule identifies in each case the 'immediate' (i.e. what has occurred at the establishment) and the likely 'underlying' (i.e. corporate) causes of the failures identified during the inspection. The final risk scores for the fire safety measures and risk scores for key areas of fire safety management are also set out in bar charts at the end of the RAG rating form. The RAG Risk Rating Form will be revised during any follow-up inspection, and this will result in a second bar on the chart, showing the improvement made.

The RAG Risk Rating form provides a clear decision framework for assigning RAG outcomes, which will also include a score for each bespoke General Fire Precaution. This is intended to improve/demonstrate consistency of judgments, and also to provide better information to you about the success of the safety management arrangements at managing risk.

Direct Dial: 0300 1233911 Mobile: 07867321573

I informed you at our meeting that my initial enforcement decision was to allow you the opportunity to comply in a timely manner. The next steps are, therefore, for you to develop your planned action plan, and to confirm the plan and date of completion to me within 28 days of receiving this letter.

Please note that, given the level of risk involved, I can only maintain an informal enforcement approach where you are able to evidence your commitment and ongoing progress towards compliance.

If you do not undertake the necessary improvements, then you may be served with a Crown enforcement notice.

There is no formal right to appeal against this letter but if you would like clarification of its contents or to comment on your experience of the visit, please contact either me or the CPFIG Team Leader on cpfig@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk.

Yours faithfully

<REDACTED>
Crown Premises Fire Inspector
Crown Premises Fire Inspection Group
Office of the Chief Fire & Rescue Adviser

CC - <REDACTED>

CC - <REDACTED>

CC - <REDACTED>

CC - <REDACTED>

CC - < REDACTED>

CC - <REDACTED>

CC - < REDACTED>

CC - <REDACTED>

Direct Dial: 0300 1233911 Mobile:

## **Schedule**

Premises: HMP LINCOLN. GREETWELL ROAD, LINCOLN, LN2 4BD

File Number: 4007/801/01 Sheet: 1 of 4

This schedule should be read in conjunction with the CFRA letter dated 30th November

2016

Specific Failure to Comply with the Order	Steps considered necessary to remedy the failure to comply, including an illustrative example of a compliant measure	Action Plan Required
a. There are inappropriate sanctions to deter prisoners from setting fires or interfering with fire detectors.	a. Appropriate sanctions should be applied following fire-setting in order to deter prisoners from setting fires or interfering with fire detectors.	Within 28 days
b. The induction programme does not include sufficient information about the consequences of fire-setting and the actions to be taken on discovering a fire	b. The prisoner induction should include all potentially useful messages for deterring fire setting and the actions to be taken on discovering a fire.	
Immediate Cause of Failure: The risk had not been correctly assessed	Safety Management Remedy: Adequate arrangements are required for the day-to-day management of fire safety	
Likely Underlying Safety Management Failure: Arrangements for the day-to-day management of fire safety were inadequate		
c. Prisoners with a history of fire-setting and those at known risk of self-harm through fire are not located in cells where they are most appropriately safeguarded from fire.	c. Where cells are protected with automatic fire detection, prisoners at known risk from fire must be located near to water misting equipment, and on the top landing of an atrium wing, in that order of preference.	
Immediate Cause of Failure: The risk had not been correctly assessed	Safety Management Remedy: The fire safety policy must direct compliance in this matter.	
Likely Underlying Safety Management Failure: The fire safety policy did not direct compliance in this matter.		
	a. There are inappropriate sanctions to deter prisoners from setting fires or interfering with fire detectors.  b. The induction programme does not include sufficient information about the consequences of fire-setting and the actions to be taken on discovering a fire  Immediate Cause of Failure: The risk had not been correctly assessed  Likely Underlying Safety Management Failure: Arrangements for the day-to-day management of fire safety were inadequate  c. Prisoners with a history of fire-setting and those at known risk of self-harm through fire are not located in cells where they are most appropriately safeguarded from fire.  Immediate Cause of Failure: The risk had not been correctly assessed  Likely Underlying Safety Management Failure: The fire safety policy did not direct	a. There are inappropriate sanctions to deter prisoners from setting fires or interfering with fire detectors.  b. The induction programme does not include sufficient information about the consequences of fire-setting and the actions to be taken on discovering a fire  Immediate Cause of Failure: The risk had not been correctly assessed  Likely Underlying Safety Management Failure: Arrangements for the day-to-day management of fire safety were inadequate  c. Prisoners with a history of fire-setting and those at known risk of self-harm through fire are not located in cells where they are most appropriately safeguarded from fire.  Likely Underlying Safety Management Failure: The risk had not been correctly assessed  Likely Underlying Safety Management fire agreement of fire safety were inadequate  C. Prisoners with a history of fire-setting and those at known risk of self-harm through fire are not located in cells where they are most appropriately safeguarded from fire.  Safety Management Remedy:  C. Where cells are protected with automatic fire detection, prisoners at known risk from fire must be located near to water misting equipment, and on the top landing of an atrium wing, in that order of preference.  Safety Management Remedy: The fire safety policy must direct compliance in this matter.

Direct Dial: 0300 1233911

Mobile:

## 2. PROTECTION OF STAFF AND PRISONERS.

WARNING OF FIRE - MEASURES FOR FIRE-FIGHTING - RISK OF FIRE SPREAD - SECURING THE MEANS OF **ESCAPE** 

Relevant article of the Order	Specific Failure to Comply with the Order	Steps considered necessary to remedy the failure to comply, including an illustrative example of a compliant measure	Action Plan Required
Articles 4(1)(a) & 8	a. The coverage of cells by automatic fire detection was insufficient to provide early warning of fire and control the risk to an acceptable level.	a. The automatic fire protection for cells must ensure that prison staff members are alerted to cell fires sufficiently early to enable them to implement the cell fire response plan before foreseeable injury can be caused to prisoners and prison staff.	Within 28 days
9	Immediate Cause of Failure: The risk had not been correctly assessed	Safety Management Remedy: Adequate arrangements are required for the day-to-day management of fire safety	
11	Likely Underlying Safety Management Failure: Arrangements for the day-to-day management of fire safety were inadequate		
0	b.There was insufficient fire-fighting and ancillary equipment to effectively carry out the cell fire response plan.  Immediate Cause of Failure:	b.Sufficient water misting equipment, hose- reels & ancillary equipment should be provided so that, allowing for the predicted time for fire detection, water-mist inundation is commenced within six minutes from	
9	The risk had not been correctly assessed	ignition.	
9	Likely Underlying Safety Management Failure: The arrangements for carrying out fire risk assessments do not ensure that there is a systematic process in place for identifying all relevant factors.	Safety Management Remedy: The arrangements for carrying out fire risk assessments must ensure that there is a systematic process in place for identifying all relevant factors.	
	c.Normal and/or emergency lighting doesn't provide sufficient illumination to safeguard prisoners and prison staff.	c.An assessment should be carried out to determine LUX levels in areas where a cell fire response/Risk/Task working/Evacuation is required.	
9	Immediate Cause of Failure: The risk had not been correctly assessed	Safety Management Remedy:	
5	Likely Underlying Safety Management Failure: The fire safety policy was not followed.	The fire safety policy must be followed.	
	d.Measures to reduce the spread of fire and smoke were inadequate.	d.Fire hazard rooms – these are defined in BS9999 - giving onto common spaces in residential wings should be enclosed with fire-resistance.	
9	Immediate Cause of Failure: The risk had not been correctly assessed	Safety Management Remedy:	
	Likely Underlying Safety Management	The arrangements for carrying out fire risk	
9	Failure: The arrangements for carrying out fire risk assessments do not ensure that there is a systematic process in place for identifying all relevant factors	assessments must ensure that there is a systematic process in place for identifying all relevant factors.	

Direct Dial: 0300 1233911

Relevant article of the Order	Insufficient information was available to evidence compliance in respect of the following matters:	Information required:	Date Required
Articles 4(1)(a) & 8	e.There was insufficient evidence available to demonstrate that the existing smoke control arrangements for CSU are adequate to prevent smoke spread to other cells in the event of a cell fire.	e.Evidence should be provided which demonstrates that an effective mechanical smoke control system, based on engineering calculations and commissioned by a competent contractor, is provided for all areas of the corridor approach within CSU.	Within 28 days

Relevant article of the Order	Specific Failure to Comply with the Order	Steps considered necessary to remedy the failure to comply, including an illustrative example of a compliant measure	Action Plan Required
Articles 4(1)(b), 7(6), 8 & 14	a. The fire fighting plan is not time based	a. The cell fire response plan must be time- based. Allowing for the predicted time for fire detection, the fire-fighting plan must ensure that water-mist inundation has been commenced by six minutes from ignition and can be effectively sustained for a period of up to 20 minutes (e.g. non compliant prisoner).	Within 28 days
	b.There is no a plan to use water mist equipment as soon as possible in every case, and always within every case within 5 minutes.  Immediate Cause of Failure:	b.The fire-fighting plan should be time- based, starting from when the fire is started, and taking into account the predicted time for fire detection. By six minutes from ignition – including the time for detection - the fire-fighting plan must ensure that water- mist inundation has been commenced.	
9	The risk had not been correctly assessed		
9	Likely Underlying Safety Management Failure: The arrangements for carrying out fire risk assessments do not ensure that there is a systematic process in place for identifying all relevant factors.	Safety Management Remedy: The arrangements for carrying out fire risk assessments must ensure that there is a systematic process in place for identifying all relevant factors.	

FIRE TI	RAINING - EVACUATION		
Relevant article of the	Specific Failure to Comply with the Order	Steps considered necessary to remedy the failure to comply, including an illustrative example of a compliant	Action Plan Required
Order		measure	
Articles 4(1)(c), 7(6), 8 & 14	a. The training package delivered to staff does not provide sufficient practical instruction on the use of Inundation equipment	a. The training package should ensure that new staff members are sufficiently trained to be able – under suitable supervision –to take an effective part in the cell fire response plan, including using inundation equipment.	Within 28 days

Direct Dial: 0300 1233911 Mobile: E-Mail: cpfig@homeoffice.gsi.gov.uk

	b.An insufficient number of prison staff members working in residential wings are <a href="in date">in date</a> with their training in RPE wearing, using inundation equipment and carrying out the cell fire response plan.	b. An adequate number of prison staff members working in residential wings should be up-to-date with their training in RPE wearing, using inundation equipment and carrying out the cell fire response plan.	
9	Immediate Cause of Failure: The action plan did not set out a timescale for implementing corrective measures which was appropriate to the seriousness of the risk.	Safety Management Remedy: The fire safety policy must be followed.	
5	Likely Underlying Safety Management Failure: The fire safety policy was not followed.		

Relevant article of the Order	Specific Failure to Comply with the Order	Steps considered necessary to remedy the failure to comply, including an illustrative example of a compliant measure	Action Plan Required
Articles 4(1)(d), 7(6), 8 & 17	a.There was insufficient evidence available to demonstrate that the fire safety measures being tested and maintained were in good condition and effective working order	a.Evidence should be provided which demonstrates that the fire safety measures being tested and maintained were in good condition and effective working order	Within 28 days
17	Immediate Cause of Failure: Contractor had not carried out the remedial works	Safety Management Remedy: The arrangements for maintenance must ensure that the general fire precautions are subject to a suitable system of maintenance and are maintained in an efficient state, in	
17	Likely Underlying Safety Management Failure: There were inadequate arrangements for the maintenance of general fire precautions	efficient working order and in good repair.	

Where appropriate, a plan may form part of this Schedule to illustrate the steps which, in the opinion of the Crown Premises Fire Inspection Group, need to be taken in order to meet the requirements of the Order.

<u>Note:</u> Notwithstanding any consultation with other enforcing authorities undertaken by the Crown Premises Fire Inspection Group, before you make any alterations to the workplace which constitutes building works <u>you</u> must apply to your local building control body (the local authority or an approved inspector) for any necessary approvals and to any other body which has a statutory interest in the workplace if their permission is required for those alterations to be made.

Direct Dial: 0300 1233911

Mobile: